

I'm not going to espouse the usual comments regarding satellite radio broadcasting local traffic and weather - I'm sure you've heard all the valid arguments. What I would like to point out is that you consider how many members of the public would be against it. I would say its pretty close to a statistically insignificant number, if not zero, and those that would be against would more than likely have some relation to local terrestrial radio - station owners, disc jockeys, or anyone that feels their livelihood is threatened by sat radio. Clearly the NAB is attempting to make their arguments ostensibly on the basis of something other than the fact that the competition of sat radio is going to kill them, but it should be obvious that is their main concern, and not the welfare of the local listening audience. Is the US still a capitalistic nation, one where competition is considered a good thing for all? I, for one, would like to think so. Lets keep it that way in regards to listeners freedom of choice, shall we?